

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,153	08/21/2003	Jeong-Kyu Moon	678-1123 (P10535)	8920
	THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.		INER	
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BOULEVA	ARD	DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS	
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	, NY 11553	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·	,		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/646,153	MOON, JEONG-KYU	MOON, JEONG-KYU		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Pierre-Louis Desir	2617			

	Pierre-Louis Desir	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Office.	riate extension fee îce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(· · · · /·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separat	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application	ı in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	. /	
13. Other:		THE DI	
X 9		JOSEPH FFII D	
W.	SUPERVIS	SORY PATENT EXAM	IINER

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: First, applicants argue that in Moran, a messaging system is disclosed whereby the system is arranged to allow a user to send a pre-specified message to a destination party mailbox through conventional telephone handset.

Applicates are respectfully referred to paragraph 12 of Moran wherein it is disclosed that input can be provided by a terminal such as a mobile terminal.

Applicants argue that Moran fails to teach or even suggest that by using inputted information when attempting to establish a call connection state, a message is transmitted from a corresponding one-touch button.

Examiner disagrees, and again, as in the previous Office action, refers Applicants to Moran' abstract where it is disclosed that a user is able to pre-record messages stored at a messaging server, such as voice, text or video messages, or multimedia messages comprising a combination of these. The user is then able to send one of the pre-recorded messages to the mail box of a destination party by providing information about the directory number of the destination party mail box and, for example, pressing a particular function key on a telephone handset.

The above passage reads on the claim as written.

Examiner want to respectfully reminds applicants that broadly written claims are broadly interpreted by Examiner.

